

If you require any further information in relation to this survey or any alternative formats e.g. Large Print etc please contact xxxxx

Residential Care - A New Model of Delivery

We want you to have your say on our proposed new model for delivering residential care. In line with the principles of the Social Services and Wellbeing (Wales) Act, the Council agreed a model for Adult Services in 2017 which had the following key principles at its core:

- Better prevention
- Better early help
- A new approach to assessment
- Improved cost effectiveness
- Working together better
- Keeping people safe

We have to deliver things differently to achieve our new model and have undertaken a review of Residential Care for Older People in line with the above principles.

Social Services is also facing unprecedented challenges in terms of the numbers of people who need to access our services and the increasing costs of providing them. With an ageing population and better and earlier diagnosis and treatment of long-term complex conditions such as learning disabilities and physical disabilities, people are living for longer with more complex needs. This increases the number of people who at some point in their lives might need some form of formal support. Whilst the budget for Social Services has decreased slightly over the years, the costs phave increased significantly due to the key pressures linked to the costs of for example paying the national living wage, increased national insurance and pension contributions.

The increase in people needing support and the costs of providing it will continue to increase, so we need to get smarter in terms of how we support people. In line with the Social Services and Well-being Act, we need to encourage people to be as independent and self-supporting as possible so we can concentrate our services on those who really need them.

Please give your view on our proposal below, the consultation will run until the xxxxx. All views will be taken into account within the decision making process.

Proposed New Model of Delivery

We propose to re-shape the Council's internal provision to focus on complex care, residential reablement and respite, and commission standard residential care and nursing care in the independent sector. In line with the key principle of better prevention, the Council will be able to designate more in-house beds as respite provision, which will allow carers greater certainty and planning surrounding respite arrangements helping them to keep their loved ones at home for longer by providing them with a much needed break.

The reablement provision will be developed to better support people when leaving hospital or when they are finding it difficult to stay at home without support. Again, in line with the key principles of better prevention and early intervention, providing people with support in this way allows them to regain skills and independence to return to their own homes in line with their desired personal outcomes.

By adopting the preferred options and developing its provision in relation to complex care, the Council will be able to provide better care for people with complex needs such as dementia. This is an area of need that the independent sector struggles to meet as typically it is more expensive to deliver because of the level of staff required to meet complex needs.

Refocussing internal provision in this way will allow the Council to provide better services and care for its residents. It will also provide market certainty for the independent sector surrounding the commissioning of standard residential care. The independent sector already provides the majority of standard residential care placements in Swansea and to an equivalent standard to that provided by the Council.

By concentrating its resources on these specialisms, the Council will ultimately provide a better service for residents in Swansea, but will need less in-house beds to provide these specialisms.

| disagree disagre Please explain your answer below |
|--|
| |

Other models for Residential Care were also considered as part of our commissioning review and discounted as follows:

- No longer provide any Residential Care internally commissioning everything. This would essentially mean we had no internal provision and no resilience in the event of market failure. Also, it is not cost effective for the independent sector to offer respite (as long-term beds always give them a better return than short-term beds) so there is no certainty for service users to secure respite in advance. They do not offer Reablement and would struggle to do so because of the therapy and domiciliary care input required. There is also a gap in the market between nursing and standard residential where complex falls; this particularly relates to people who require more specialist support which is more costly to deliver.
- Continue with the current in house provision completely and deliver a degree of specialist services and standard residential care. Social Services is facing unprecedented challenges in terms of the numbers of people who need to access our services and the increasing costs of providing them. We simply don't have enough resource to carry on providing services in this way. We need to get smarter in terms of how we support people. In line with the Social Services and Well-being Act, we need to encourage people to be as independent and self-supporting as possible so we can concentrate our services on those who really need them. There is no evidence to suggest that the Local Authority can deliver standard residential care better than the independent sector.

| ა. | residential care? | |
|----|-------------------|--|
| | | |
| | | |

If the proposed new model for Residential care is approved, one care home will close. In order to establish which care home could be affected evaluation matrix was utilised which assessed each residential home against the following specific criteria as follows:

Building Condition:

- Current Condition of building
- Building Investment to date
- Estimated investment in building required
- Core Inspectorate Wales/Health & Safety recommendations outstanding
- Fitness for purpose of existing building layout to deliver proposed future model
- Fitness for purpose in terms of accessibility and security to fit future model
- Estimated value of site for redevelopment

Location:

- Availability of alternative residential provision in the vicinity

Current Level of Use:

- Current occupancy levels
- Current level of alignment with the new model

Dependencies:

- Grant funding received to invest in building/services (potential claw back if decommissioned services)

Each criteria attracted a score of up to 5 with a weighted maximum score of 255, with the higher the score indicating that the home was most fit for purpose to deliver the proposed model.

The outcome of the evaluation led to the following overall scores

| Home | Overall Score |
|------------------|---------------|
| Bonymaen House | 200 |
| Parkway | 132 |
| St Johns | 139 |
| Rose Cross House | 171 |
| Ty Waunarlwydd | 190 |
| The Hollies | 162 |

Parkway therefore attracted the lowest score, and it is therefore proposed that Parkway would be the home to close if the proposed new model was agreed.

| | | Strongly Agree | Tend to agree | Tend to disagree | Strongly disagree |
|----------|---|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--|---|
| | The criteria used to access each care home were the right ones | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| | The proposal to close parkway Residential care | lacktriangle | lacktriangle | lacktriangle | $lue{oldsymbol{\circ}}$ |
| 5. | If you disagree with either that you would like the Co | | | lain why and (| give any alternatives |
| | | | | | |
| | | Abou | it You | | |
| | In accordance with t requested on the fol onfidence for data and able us to determine v impact on a | lowing qu alysis pur whether o | estions is poses on r not our | s held in the ly. The inf proposal h | ne strictest ormation will nas more of an |
| 6. | Are you? | , , , | | | |
| . | Male | | | | |
| | © Female | | | | |
| | Prefer not to say | | | | |
| 7. | Is your gender the same a | s that which | you were as | signed at birt | h? |
| | Yes | | | | |
| | O No | | | | |
| | Prefer not to say | | | | |
| 8. | How old are you | | | | |
| | Under 16 | | C 56 | 5 - 65 | |
| | C 16 - 25 | | C 66 | 5 - 75 | |
| | C 26 - 35 | | O 76 | 5 - 85 | |
| | 36 - 45 | | O 01 | /er 85 | |
| | C 46 - 55 | | O Pr | efer not to say | |
| | | | | | |

Considering the above, do you agree or disagree with the following...

| Would you describe yourse Please mark all that apply | lf as | |
|---|---------------------------------------|---|
| British | | Other British (please write in at end) |
| Welsh | | Non British (please write in at end) |
| English | | Gypsy/traveller |
| Irish | | Refugee/Asylum Seeker (please write |
| Scottish | _ | in current/last nationality at end) |
| | | Prefer not to say |
| Write in here | | |
| | | |
| To what 'ethnic' group do yo | ou consider | |
| White - British | lacktriangle | Asian or Asian British - Bangladeshi |
| Any other White backgro write in at end) | und (please | Any other Asian background (please write in at end) |
| Mixed - White & Black Ca | aribbean | Black or Black British - Caribbean |
| Mixed - White & Black Af | rican | Black or Black British - African |
| Mixed - White & Asian | \bigcirc | Any other Black background (please |
| Any other Mixed backgro | und (please | write in at end |
| write in at end) | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Chinese |
| Asian or Asian British - In | | Other ethnic group (please write in at end) |
| Asian or Asian British - P | akistani 🕝 | Prefer not to say |
| Write in here | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| What is your religion, even in Please mark one box or write | | ntly practicing? |
| O No religion | \bigcirc | Jewish |
| Christian (including Chure | ch of | Muslim |
| England, Catholic, Protes | | Sikh |
| other Christian denomina Ruddhist | itions) | Other |
| Daddinst | | Prefer not to say |
| Hindu Any other religion or philosople | higal haliaf (places w | • |
| Any other religion or philosopl | nicai bellei (piease wi | nie in) |
| | | |
| Do you consider that you ar | e actively practising | a vour religion? |
| Yes | z samony practionity | g y = 3 |
| O No | | |
| Prefer not to say | | |
| - I leter flot to say | | |

| (· | Bisexual | \bigcirc | Prefer not to say |
|-------------------------------|---|------------------------|---|
| \bigcirc | Gay/ Lesbian | \bigcirc | Other |
| \bigcirc | Heterosexual | | |
| Ple | ase write in | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | n you understand, speak, read or write V ase mark all that apply | Velsl | 1? |
| | Understand spoken Welsh | | Learning Welsh |
| | Speak Welsh | | None of these |
| | Read Welsh | | Prefer not to say |
| | Write Welsh | | |
| | ich languages do you use from day to d ase mark all that apply | ay? | |
| | English | | |
| | Welsh | | |
| | | | |
| | Other (write in) | | |
| | Other (write in) Prefer not to say | | |
| Ple | Other (write in) Prefer not to say ase write in | | |
| Ple | Prefer not to say | | |
| Ple | Prefer not to say | | |
| Do By tha Thi | Prefer not to say | ility a su | Dubled you over a period of time or Discrimination Act 1995 as: "Having obstantial and long term adverse |
| Do By tha Thi a p | Prefer not to say ase write in you have any long-standing illness, disa long-standing we mean anything that ha t is likely to affect you over time. s could also be defined Under the Disab hysical or mental impairment which has | ility a su | Dubled you over a period of time or Discrimination Act 1995 as: "Having obstantial and long term adverse |
| Do By tha Thi a p effe | Prefer not to say ase write in you have any long-standing illness, disalong-standing we mean anything that hat is likely to affect you over time. s could also be defined Under the Disable hysical or mental impairment which has ect on your ability to carry out normal dates. | ility a su | Dubled you over a period of time or Discrimination Act 1995 as: "Having obstantial and long term adverse |
| Do By tha Thi a p effe | Prefer not to say ase write in you have any long-standing illness, disalong-standing we mean anything that hat is likely to affect you over time. s could also be defined Under the Disablysical or mental impairment which has ect on your ability to carry out normal days | ility a su | Dubled you over a period of time or Discrimination Act 1995 as: "Having obstantial and long term adverse |
| Do By tha Thi a p effe | Prefer not to say ase write in you have any long-standing illness, disalong-standing we mean anything that hat is likely to affect you over time. s could also be defined Under the Disabhysical or mental impairment which has ect on your ability to carry out normal day. Yes No Prefer not to say es this illness or disability limit your active. | ility a su ay to | Dubled you over a period of time or Discrimination Act 1995 as: "Having obstantial and long term adverse day activities." |
| Do By tha Thi a p effe | Prefer not to say ase write in you have any long-standing illness, disalong-standing we mean anything that hat is likely to affect you over time. s could also be defined Under the Disabhysical or mental impairment which has ect on your ability to carry out normal day Yes No Prefer not to say es this illness or disability limit your actives | ility a su ay to | Dubled you over a period of time or Discrimination Act 1995 as: "Having obstantial and long term adverse day activities." |
| Do By tha Thi a p effe | Prefer not to say ase write in you have any long-standing illness, disalong-standing we mean anything that hat is likely to affect you over time. s could also be defined Under the Disabhysical or mental impairment which has ect on your ability to carry out normal day. Yes No Prefer not to say es this illness or disability limit your active. | ility a su ay to | Dubled you over a period of time or Discrimination Act 1995 as: "Having obstantial and long term adverse day activities." |

Thank you for your participation